

# **UOISIN OSON**

sulla terapia di condizionamento pre-HSCT Incontri di esperienze e punti di vista

# Treosulfano Questioni Aperte e Potenziali Sviluppi Futuri



Domenico Russo

Unit of Blood Diseases and Bone Marrow Transplantation

Program of Cell Therapies and Research in Hematology



University of Brescia & ASST Spedali Civili of Brescia



# **Treosulfan – Phamacologic Profile**

- **Treosulfan** is a water-soluble, bifunctional alkylating agent.
- Treosulfan is a prodrug (pH >5 dependent activation )
  - NOT require enzymatic activation or hepatic metabolism
- LOW INTER- and INTRAPATIENT VARIABILITY
- NOT require DRUG LEVEL MONITORING and ADJUSTMENT





Danylesko et al., 2011 Romanski et al., 2017 Shimoni et al., 2018 Treosulfan is an attractive candidate for allo- HSCT conditioning regimens

- Preclinical «in vitro» and «in vivo» studies demonstrated that:
- Treo has intense cytoxicity mediated by Caspase against AML leukemic cells



Munkelt et al., 2008 Fichtner et al., 2007

# Treosulfan is an attractive candidate for allo- HSCT conditioning regimens



### **Treosulfan doses**



SCIENCE MEDICINES HEALTH

- EMA approval : Treo 10-14 g/m<sup>2</sup> over 3 days in combination with Fluda 5 days
  - Adult with malignant disease and NON malignant disease
- Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation (2019)
- Orphan-Drug (!) (2020)

### -FT10: Treosulfan 10 g/m<sup>2</sup> x 3 days (-4 to -2) (total dose: 30 g/m<sup>2</sup>)

- -+ Fludarabine 30 mg/m<sup>2</sup> x 5 days (-6 to -2)
- -Treosulfan should be administered before fludarabine on days -4, -3, -2

### -FT14: Treosulfan 14 g/m<sup>2</sup> x 3 days (-6 to -4) (total dose: 42 g/m<sup>2</sup>)

-+ Fludarabine 30 mg/m<sup>2</sup> x 5 days (-7 to -3) *Treosulfan should be administered before fludarabine on days -6, -5, -4* (+/- Thiotepa 5 mg/kg twice a day on day -2)

Scheulen ME et al.; 2000; Nagler et al. 2017





Beelen et al., 2020

# MC-FludT.14/L study

Prospective Randomised controlled clinical trial

### **Objective**:

- Non-inferiority of treo-based conditioning vs. busulfan based regime
- To compare the associated **safety profiles**





On Feb 20, 2012 results of the **interim analysis** prompted the independent data monitoring committee to **temporarily suspend** patient accrual due to concerns about prolonged neutropenia and subsequent serious infectious complications in the treosulfan group



# Treosulfan - Fludarabine conditioning prospective studies

**UPS** 

Bone Marrow Transplantation (2012) 47, 1171 - 1177 © 2012 Macmillan Publishers Limited All rights reserved 0268-3369/12 www.nature.com/bmt

### ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Allogeneic hematopoietic SCT in patients with AML following treosulfan/fludarabine conditioning

J Casper<sup>1,12</sup>, J Holowiecki<sup>2,13</sup>, R Trenschel<sup>3</sup>, H Wandt<sup>4</sup>, K Schaefer-Eckart<sup>4</sup>, T Ruutu<sup>5</sup>, L Volin<sup>5</sup>, H Einsele<sup>5</sup>, G Stuhler<sup>6</sup>, L Uharek<sup>7</sup>, I Blau<sup>7</sup>, M Bornhaeuse<sup>8</sup>, AR Zander<sup>9</sup>, K Larsson<sup>10</sup>, M Markiewicz<sup>2</sup>, S Giebel<sup>2,13</sup>, T Kruzel<sup>2</sup>, HA Mylius<sup>11</sup>, J Baumgart<sup>11</sup>, U Pichlmeier<sup>11</sup>, M Freund<sup>1</sup> and DW Beelen<sup>3</sup>

- 75 AML pts (2004-2006); 18-60 ys old
- Prospective, non-randomized phase II study
- Regime: FT14
- Low incidences of grade III/IV toxicities
- Infections incidence: 59%
- OS and DFS of 61 and 55% at 2 years

**Original Articles** 

haematologica | 2011; 96(9)

Reduced-toxicity conditioning with treosulfan and fludarabine in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for myelodysplastic syndromes: final results of an international prospective phase II trial

Tapani Ruutu,<sup>4</sup> Liisa Volin,<sup>4</sup> Dietrich W. Beelen,<sup>2</sup> Rudolf Trenschel,<sup>2</sup> Juergen Finke,<sup>3</sup> Marc Schnitzler,<sup>3</sup> Jerzy Holowiecki,<sup>4,33</sup> Sebastian Giebel,<sup>4,33</sup> Miroslaw Markiewicz,<sup>4</sup> Lutz Uharek,<sup>5</sup> Igor W. Blau,<sup>5</sup> Joachim Kienast,<sup>6</sup> Matthias Stelljes,<sup>6</sup> Kajsa Larsson,<sup>7</sup> Axel R. Zander,<sup>6</sup> Martin Gramatzki,<sup>9</sup> Roland Repp,<sup>9</sup> Hermann Einsele,<sup>10</sup> Gernot Stuhler,<sup>10</sup> Joachim Baumgart,<sup>11</sup> Heidrun A. Mylius,<sup>11</sup> Uwe Pichlmeier,<sup>11</sup> Mathias Freund,<sup>12</sup> and Jochen Casper<sup>12,14</sup>

- 45 MDS pts (2004-2007); 18-65 ys old
- Prospective, non-randomized phase II study
- Regime: FT14
- Low incidences of grade III/IV toxicities
- OS and DFS of 71 and 67% at 2 years

Treosulfan or busulfan plus fludarabine as conditioning treatment before allogeneic haemopoietic stem cell transplantation for older patients with acute myeloid leukaemia or myelodysplastic syndrome (MC-FludT.14/L): a randomised, non-inferiority, phase 3 trial THE LANCET Haematology 2020; 7: e28–39

Dietrich Wilhelm Beelen, Rudolf Trenschel, Matthias Stelljes, Christoph Groth, Tamás Masszi, Péter Reményi, Eva-Maria Wagner-Drouet, Beate Hauptrock, Peter Dreger, Thomas Luft, Wolfgang Bethge, Wichard Vogel, Fabio Ciceri, Jacopo Peccatori, Friedrich Stölzel, Johannes Schetelig, Christian Junghanß, Christina Grosse-Thie, Mauricette Michallet, Hélène Labussiere-Wallet, Kerstin Schaefer-Eckart, Sabine Dressler, Goetz Ulrich Griaoleit, Stephan Mielke, Christof Scheid, Udo Holtick, Francesca Patriarca, Marta Medeot, Alessandro Rambaldi.

### **EBMT Experience: Bu-based vs Treo-based regimens Toxicieties**

,	_		NRM	I						LFS	5							OS			
Cumulative Incidence of NRM		<u>y</u> 2 ; ;	<u> </u>	<del></del>			Leukemia-Free Survival	0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0	لي من من من و و ه ه من من م	<b>Tr</b> ر مر ح <del>م</del> ح		<u> </u>			Overall Survival			<b>٦</b> مرمع 		<u></u>	<b></b>
	0	1	2	3	4	5		0	1	2	3	4	5			0	1	2	3	4	5
		Time num	from trar ber of at	nsplant (y -risk patie	vears) ents				Tim	e from tra	nsplant (y t-risk patie	ears) ents					Time	from tran	nsplant (y t-risk patie	ears) ents	
— FT14	92	37	28	19	15	10	— FT1	4 92	37	28	19	15	10	_	FT14	92	50	35	26	18	14
— FB4	174	53	33	22	16	10	— FB4	174	53	33	22	16	10		FB4	174	61	37	25	17	11
– – FT12	49	15	10	7	6	3	FT1	2 49	15	10	7	6	3		FT12	49	25	13	9	7	4
<b>- -</b> FB2	151	36	19	12	10	6	FB2	151	36	19	12	10	6		FB2	151	50	25	14	10	6

	Relapse		NRM		
	HR (95% CI)	Р	HR (95% CI)	Р	
Conditioning					
FB4	1		1		
FB2	1.21 (1.02-1.43)	.03	.81 (.64-1.01)	.06	
FT14	1.03 (.83-1.27)	.80	.95 (.73-1.23)	.95	
FT12	1.17 (.89-1.56)	.25	.61 (.4094)	.02	

.....

	LFS		OS			
	HR (95% CI)	Р	HR (95% CI)	Р		
Conditioning						
FB4	1		1			
FB2	1.04 (.90-1.19)	.63	.94 (.81-1.10)	.42		
FT14	.98 (.82-1.17)	.82	.87 (.72-1.05)	.15		
FT12	.99 (.78-1.27)	.96	.84 (.64-1.09)	.18		
Age per to yr	1.14 (1.00-1.21)	<.0001	1.19(1.11-1.20)	<.0001		
Gender, female Disease status	.95 (.84-1.07)	.38	.98 (.86-1.12)	76		
CR1	1		1			
CR2/3	1.24 (1.07-1.44)	007	1.15 (.98-1.36)	09		
Active disease	2.05 (1.78-2.36	<.0001	1.97 (1.69-2.30	<.0001		

Shimoni	et al	2018
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	

### **EBMT Experience: Bu-based vs Treo-based regimens**

### Role of FT14 in patients with Advanced Disease at SCT



•In patients with active disease at HSCT the 2-year OS rate was 49% after FT14, compared to 31% of patients who received FB4 (p = 0.004).

In Multivariate analysis patients reciving FT14 subset confirmed a better OS for FT14 compared with FB4 (HR, .60; p = 0.008)

## **EBMT Experience: Bu-based vs Treo-based regimens**

- Retrospective, multicentric analysis (+500)
- 3293 patients with de novo (n = 2588) or secondary AML (n = 705)



Shimoni et al., 2018

### **EBMT Experience: Bu-based vs Treo-based regimens**

### Patient Characteristics

	FB4 (n = 1533)	FT14 (n=403)	FB2 (n = 1457)	FT12 (n= 168)	Р
Median age, yr (range)	48 (18-74)	577(19-73)	60 (18-77)	60 (21-73)	<.0001
Age, yr, IQR Gender, male	36-56 75% pts are	50-65 50 75% pts are	e 54-64 9 54	54-65 52	.26
Disease status CR1	73	56 50ys old	74	52	< .0001
CR2/3	13	21	16	19	
Active disease	14	23	10	29	< 0001
Donor, sibling	62	36	40		<.0001
$F \rightarrow M$	22	17	17	18	.007
Stem cell source, PBSC	85	90	95	95	<.0001
CMV status					
D-/R-	13	22	25	19	< .0001
D + /R -	7	6	9	8	
D-/R+	19	28	23	27	
D + /R +	61	44	43	46	
In vivo TCD	50	55	85	65	<.0001
Year of SCT	2012 (2000-2014)	2010 (2003-2014)	2011 (2000-2014)	2010 (2002-2014)	<.0001

Values are percents unless otherwise defined.  $F \rightarrow M$  indicates female donor to male recipients; CMV, cytomegalovirus; D, donor; R, recipient; TCD, T cell depletion.

Shimoni et al., 2018

## **Brescia Experience**

	Total	Treo14	Treo12	Treo10
Patients	32	13	5	14
Male (%)	22 (68.8%)	8 (61.5%)	3 (60%)	11 (78.6%)
Age median (range)	<b>57,5</b> (43-71)	<b>51</b> (43-58)	<b>60</b> (56-63)	<b>66,5</b> (50-71)
Diagnosis				
AML	24	11	2	11
1^RC	17	8	1	8
2^RC	5	2	0	10
Molecular RC	12	5	1	6
MDS	4	1	1	2
RAEB I	1	0	0	1
RAEBII	1	0	0	1
LAM oligoblastica	1	0	1	0
MDS with multilinear dysplasia related				
therapy	1	1	0	0
Multiple Myeloma	3	0	2	1
LNH T	1	1	0	0





# **Brescia Experience**

**Toxicities** 



\*In 8 of 9 (88,9%) of grade III-IV toxicities Treo was associated with Melphalan

	Total	Treo14	Treo12	Treo10
Graft MPN median days (range)	23.5 (14-29)	25 (14-29)	19 (17-28)	22 (17-39)
Graft PLT median days (range)	25 (13-86)	24,5 (18-86)	22.5 (14-30)	25 (13-45)
Infections	31 (96.8%)	13 (100%)	5 (100%)	13 (92.9%)
Viral	22 (68.8%)	8 (61.5%)	5 (100%)	9 (64.3%)
IFI	7 (21.9%)	3 (23%)	0 (0%)	4 (28.6%)
aGVHD	13 (40.1%)	5 (38.5%)	3 (60%)	5 (35.8%)
Gr I-II	10 (31.2%)	3 (23%)	3 (60%)	4 (28.6%)
Gr III-IV	3 (9.4%)	2 (15.4%)	0 (0%)	1 (7.14%)
St I-II	9 (28.1%)	3 (23%)	3 (60%)	3 (21.4%)
St III-IV	4 (12.5%)	2 (15.4%)	0 (0%)	2 (14.3%)
cGVHD	8 (25%)	4 (30.7%)	0 (0%)	4 (28.6%)
Mild/moderate	5 (15.6%)	2 (15.4%)	0 (0%)	3 (21.4%)
Serious	3 (9.4%)	2 (15.4%)	0 (0%)	1 (7.14%)

### **Brescia Experience**





## **Treosulfan- Discussion**

- GITMO Retrospective Analysis on FT14 FT12 (5 or 3 years)
- FT14 / FT12 prospective investigational studies
- Correlative biological studies on FT antileukemic activity and AML/MDS NGS mutational profile
- Treo in combination with Tiotepa (TTF) for conditioning regimen in Hemat. Malign. Diseases
- FT in combination with molecular targeted drugs (FLT3-IDH1-2)

# FT14 study proposal

Prospective Phase II study on Safety and Efficacy of Fludarabine plus Treosulfan (14mg) (<u>FT14)</u> conditioning regimen for allogeneic Stem Cell Transplantation (allo-SCT) in Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML) patients (≥40 <65years)

FT14 - Study

# **FT14 Study Proposal**

### **Primary Objective**

To prospectively evaluate the safety and efficacy of the FT14 conditioning regimen for allo-SCT in AML pts ( $\geq$ 40 <65years).

Primary endpoint is :

- Event-free survival 2 year after allo-SCT



FT14 : Fludarabine (30 mg/m<sup>2</sup> x 5 days) + Treosulfan (14g/m2 x 3 days)

# FT14 study proposal

Inclusion criteria (the same as FB4)

- •Patients >40 <65 years of age
- •Diagnosis of AML in first CR/CRi/
- •Eligible for allo-SCT from HLA-identical matched related or unrelated donor as defined by molecular high-resolution typing (4 digits)
- Adequate hepatic function (bilirubin ≤2 UNL; ALT/AST ≤2,5 UNL)
- Adequate renal function (creatinine clearance ≥50 ml/min)
- •ECOG Performance Status < 2
- •Willing and able to comply with all of the requirements and visits in the protocol.
- •Written and signed informed consent



### Sample size: 67 patients

This sample size has been calculated on the basis of expected LFS after allo-HCT with FB4 conditioning regimen in AML patients in complete hematological remission (LFS 65% at 1y) compared to that expected after a regimen including treosulfan, an agent associated to lower toxicity and higher anti-leukemic activity compared to busulfan.

→ Our hypothesis is that this new conditioning regimen could allow to reach an LFS of 80% at 1 year.

